

**$a_1(1260)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$ 

See also our review under the  $a_1(1260)$  in PDG 06, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

 **$a_1(1260)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1230±40 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
<b>1255± 6<sup>+ 7</sup><sub>-17</sub></b>	420k	ALEKSEEV	10 COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1243±12±20		<sup>1</sup> AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
1230–1270	6360	<sup>2</sup> LINK	07A FOCS	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
1203± 3		<sup>3</sup> GOMEZ-DUM..04	RVUE	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
1330±24	90k	SALVINI	04 OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1331±10± 3	37k	<sup>4</sup> ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1255± 7± 6	5904	<sup>5</sup> ABREU	98G DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1207± 5± 8	5904	<sup>6</sup> ABREU	98G DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1196± 4± 5	5904	<sup>7,8</sup> ABREU	98G DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1240±10		BARBERIS	98B	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1262± 9± 7		<sup>5,9</sup> ACKERSTAFF	97R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$
1210± 7± 2		<sup>6,9</sup> ACKERSTAFF	97R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$
1211± 7 <sup>+50</sup> <sub>-0</sub>		<sup>6</sup> ALBRECHT	93C ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1121± 8		<sup>10</sup> ANDO	92 SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1242±37		<sup>11</sup> IVANOV	91 RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260±14		<sup>12</sup> IVANOV	91 RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1250± 9		<sup>13</sup> IVANOV	91 RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1208±15		ARMSTRONG	90 OMEG	$300.0 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1220±15		<sup>14</sup> ISGUR	89 RVUE	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260±25		<sup>15</sup> BOWLER	88 RVUE	
1166±18±11		BAND	87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1164±41±23		BAND	87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
1250±40		<sup>14</sup> TORNQVIST	87 RVUE	
1046±11		ALBRECHT	86B ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1056±20±15		RUCKSTUHL	86 DLCO	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1194±14±10		SCHMIDKE	86 MRK2	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1255±23		BELLINI	85 SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1240±80		<sup>16</sup> DANKOWY...	81 SPEC	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi$
1280±30		<sup>16</sup> DAUM	81B CNTR	$63.94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
1041±13		<sup>17</sup> GAVILLET	77 HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

1 The  $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$  state can be also due to the  $\pi(1300)$ .

2 Using the Breit-Wigner parameterization; strong correlation between mass and width.

3 Using the data of BARATE 98R.

4 From a fit to the  $3\pi$  mass spectrum including the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)$  threshold.

5 Uses the model of KUHN 90.

6 Uses the model of ISGUR 89.

7 Includes the effect of a possible  $a'_1$  state.

8 Uses the model of FEINDT 90.

9 Supersedes AKERS 95P.

10 Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.

11 Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.

12 Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.

13 Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.

14 From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.

15 From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.

16 Uses the model of BOWLER 75.

17 Produced in  $K^-$  backward scattering.

NODE=M010

NODE=M010

NODE=M010M

NODE=M010M  
→ UNCHECKED ←OCCUR=2  
OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2  
OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=AU  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=LI  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=GO  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=B6  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=KS  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=IM  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=A1  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=F1  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=X  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=P  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=I  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=L  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=M  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=K  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=G  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=D  
 NODE=M010M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M010W

NODE=M010W  
→ UNCHECKED ← **$a_1(1260)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>250 to 600 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
<b>367± 9<sup>+ 28</sup><sub>-25</sub></b>	420k	ALEKSEEV	10 COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

410 ± 31 ± 30	18 AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$
520–680	6360	19 LINK	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
480 ± 20		20 GOMEZ-DUM..04	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
580 ± 41	90k	SALVINI 04	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
460 ± 85	205	21 DRUTSKOY 02	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^- K^{*0}$
814 ± 36 ± 13	37k	22 ASNER 00	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
450 ± 50	22k	23 AKHMETSHIN 99E	$CMD2 1.05-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
570 ± 10		24 BONDAR 99	$RVUE e^+ e^- \rightarrow 4\pi, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu_\tau$
587 ± 27 ± 21	5904	25 ABREU 98G	$DLPH e^+ e^-$
478 ± 3 ± 15	5904	26 ABREU 98G	$DLPH e^+ e^-$
425 ± 14 ± 8	5904	27,28 ABREU 98G	$DLPH e^+ e^-$
400 ± 35		BARBERIS 98B	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
621 ± 32 ± 58		25,29 ACKERSTAFF 97R	$OPAL E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
457 ± 15 ± 17		26,29 ACKERSTAFF 97R	$OPAL E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
446 ± 21 ± 140	0	26 ALBRECHT 93C	$ARG \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
239 ± 11		ANDO 92	$SPEC 8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
266 ± 13 ± 4		30 ANDO 92	$SPEC 8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
465 ± 228		31 IVANOV 91	$RVUE \tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
-143		32 IVANOV 91	$RVUE \tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
298 ± 40		33 IVANOV 91	$RVUE \tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
-34		ARMSTRONG 90	$OMEG 300.0 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
488 ± 32		34 ISGUR 89	$RVUE \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
430 ± 50		35 BOWLER 88	$RVUE$
420 ± 40		405 ± 75 ± 25	$BAND 87 MAC \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
396 ± 43		419 ± 108 ± 57	$BAND 87 MAC \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
521 ± 27		521 ± 27	$ALBRECHT 86B ARG \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
476 ± 132 ± 54	-120 ± 54	RUCKSTUHL 86	$DLCO \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
462 ± 56 ± 30		SCHMIDKE 86	$MRK2 \tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
292 ± 40		BELLINI 85	$SPEC 40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
380 ± 100		36 DANKOWY... 81	$SPEC 8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\pi$
300 ± 50		36 DAUM 81B	$CNTR 63,94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p3\pi$
230 ± 50		37 GAVILLET 77	$HBC 4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

18 The  $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$  state can be also due to the  $\pi(1300)$ .

19 Using the Breit-Wigner parameterization; strong correlation between mass and width.

20 Using the data of BARATE 98R.

21 From a fit of the  $K^- K^{*0}$  distribution assuming  $m_{a_1} = 1230$  MeV and purely resonant production of the  $K^- K^{*0}$  system.

22 From a fit to the  $3\pi$  mass spectrum including the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)$  threshold.

23 Using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass of 1230 MeV.

24 From AKHMETSHIN 99E and ASNER 00 data using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass of 1230 MeV.

25 Uses the model of KUHN 90.

26 Uses the model of ISGUR 89.

27 Includes the effect of a possible  $a'_1$  state.

28 Uses the model of FEINDT 90.

29 Supersedes AKERS 95P.

30 Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.

31 Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.

32 Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.

33 Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.

34 From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.

35 From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.

36 Uses the model of BOWLER 75.

37 Produced in  $K^-$  backward scattering.

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=LI

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=DR

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=B6

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=WE

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=WB

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=KS

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=IM

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=X

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=P

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=I

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=L

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=K

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=G

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M010W;LINKAGE=F

**$a_1(1260)$  DECAY MODES**

NODE=M010215;NODE=M010

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
$\Gamma_2 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
$\Gamma_3 (\rho\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_4 (\rho\pi)_D$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_5 (\rho(1450)\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_6 (\rho(1450)\pi)_D$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_7 \sigma\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8 f_0(980)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_9 f_0(1370)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} \pi\gamma$	seen

 **$a_1(1260)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS**

$\Gamma(\pi\gamma)$				$\Gamma_{12}$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>640±246</b>	ZIELINSKI	84C	SPEC	$200 \pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z 3\pi$


**D-wave/S-wave AMPLITUDE RATIO IN DECAY OF  $a_1(1260) \rightarrow \rho\pi$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>-0.062±0.020 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.3. See the ideogram below.			
-0.043±0.009±0.005	LINK	07A	FOCS $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$	
-0.14 ± 0.04 ± 0.07	<sup>38</sup> CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$	
-0.10 ± 0.02 ± 0.02	<sup>39,40</sup> ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL $E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$	
-0.11 ± 0.02	<sup>39</sup> ALBRECHT	93C	ARG $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\nu$	

38 Deck-type background not subtracted.

39 Uses the model of ISGUR 89.

40 Supersedes AKERS 95P.

**D-wave/S-wave AMPLITUDE RATIO IN DECAY OF  $a_1(1260) \rightarrow \rho\pi$**  **$a_1(1260)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

NODE=M010225

DESIG=22  
 DESIG=23  
 DESIG=7;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=8;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=9;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=10;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=16;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=11;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=12;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=13;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=14;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$   
 DESIG=4;OUR EST; $\rightarrow$  UNCHECKED  $\leftarrow$

NODE=M010220

NODE=M010W4  
NODE=M010W4

NODE=M010DS

NODE=M010DS

NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=C  
NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=IM  
NODE=M010DS;LINKAGE=X

$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$	
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
60.19	37k	41 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R5 NODE=M010R5
$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{D\text{-wave}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
$1.30 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.22$	37k	41 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R6 NODE=M010R6
$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
$0.56 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.32$	37k	41,42 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R7 NODE=M010R7
$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_{D\text{-wave}})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
$2.04 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.28$	37k	41,42 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R8 NODE=M010R8
$\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
seen		CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$		NODE=M010R9 NODE=M010R9
$18.76 \pm 4.29 \pm 1.48$	37k	41,43 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		
$\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_8/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
not seen	37k	ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R10 NODE=M010R10
$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
$7.40 \pm 2.71 \pm 1.26$	37k	41,44 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R11 NODE=M010R11
$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
$1.19 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.17$	37k	41,45 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R12 NODE=M010R12
$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$						
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$						
2.2±0.5	2255	46 COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$		NODE=M010R13 NODE=M010R13
8 to 15	205	47 DRUTSKOY	02	BELL $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^- K^{*0}$		
$3.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	37k	48 ASNER	00	CLE2 $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$		
2.6±0.3		49 BARATE	99R	ALEP $\tau \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\nu_\tau$		

$\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma((\rho\pi)S\text{-wave})$					$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_3$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.06 ± 0.05	90k	SALVINI 04	OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
~0.3	28k	AKHMETSHIN 99E	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
0.003±0.003	50	LONGACRE 82	RVUE		

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.008	90	51 BARBERIS 01	450	$p p \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$	
41	From a fit to the Dalitz plot.				
42	Assuming for $\rho(1450)$ mass and width of 1370 and 386 MeV respectively.				
43	Assuming for $\sigma$ mass and width of 860 and 880 MeV respectively.				
44	Assuming for $f_0(1370)$ mass and width of 1186 and 350 MeV respectively.				
45	Assuming for $f_2(1270)$ mass and width of 1275 and 185 MeV respectively.				
46	Using structure functions from KUHN 92 and DECKER 93A and $B(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau) = (0.155 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.009)\%$ from BRIERE 03.				
47	From a comparison to ALAM 94 assuming purely resonant production of the $K^- K^{*0}$ system.				
48	From a fit to the $3\pi$ mass spectrum including the $K\bar{K}^*(892)$ threshold.				
49	Assuming $a_1(1260)$ dominance and taking $B(\tau \rightarrow a_1(1260) \nu_\tau)$ from BUSKULIC 96.				
50	Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from GAVILLET 77, DAUM 80, and DANKOWYCH 81.				
51	Inconsistent with observations of $\sigma\pi$ , $f_0(1370)\pi$ , and $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay modes.				

## $a_1(1260)$ REFERENCES

ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LINK	07A	PR D75 052003	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GOMEZ-DUM...	04	PR D69 073002	D. Gomez Dunn, A. Pich, J. Portoles	
SALVINI	04	EPJ C35 21	P. Salvini <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BRIERE	03	PRC 90 181802	R. A. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	02	PL B542 171	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99E	PL B466 392	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARATE	99R	EPJ C11 599	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BONDAR	99	PL B466 403	A.E. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABREU	98G	PL B426 411	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BARATE	98R	EPJ C4 409	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97R	ZPHY C75 593	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96	ZPHY C70 579	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKERS	95P	ZPHY C67 45	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALAM	94	PR D50 43	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93C	ZPHY C58 61	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DECKER	93A	ZPHY C58 445	R. Decker <i>et al.</i>	
ANDO	92	PL B291 496	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)
KUHN	92	ZPHY C56 661	J.H. Kuhn, E. Mirkes	
IVANOV	91	ZPHY C49 563	Y.P. Ivanov, A.A. Osipov, M.K. Volkov	(JINR)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	(WA76 Coll.)
FEINDT	90	ZPHY C48 681	M. Feindt	(HAMB)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ISGUR	89	PR D39 1357	N. Isgur, C. Morningstar, C. Reader	(TNTO)
BOWLER	88	PL B209 99	M.G. Bowler	(OXF)
BAND	87	PL B198 297	H.R. Band <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
TORNQVIST	87	ZPHY C36 695	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
ALBRECHT	86B	ZPHY C33 7	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
RUCKSTUHL	86	PRL 56 2132	W. Ruckstuhl <i>et al.</i>	(DELCO Collab.)
SCHMIDKE	86	PRL 57 527	W.B. Schmidke <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41 1223.		
ZIELINSKI	84C	PRC 52 1195	M. Zielinski <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MINN, FNAL)
LONGACRE	82	PR D26 82	R.S. Longacre	(BNL)
DANKOWY...	81	PRL 46 580	J.A. Dankowycz <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, BNL, CARL+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
DAUM	80	PL 89B 281	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
GAVILLET	77	PL 69B 119	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+) JP
BOWLER	75	NP B97 227	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXFTP, DARE)

NODE=M010R4

NODE=M010R4

NODE=M010R15

NODE=M010R15

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B2

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B3

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B4

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B5

NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=CO

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=DR

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=B6

NODE=M010R13;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=M010R4;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M010R;LINKAGE=RB

NODE=M010

REFID=53356

REFID=52049

REFID=51713

REFID=51004

REFID=49945

REFID=49771

REFID=53226

REFID=49360

REFID=48837

REFID=48780

REFID=48324

REFID=47339

REFID=47411

REFID=47366

REFID=47358

REFID=45909

REFID=46147

REFID=46345

REFID=45616

REFID=44588

REFID=44366

REFID=43738

REFID=43310

REFID=51577

REFID=43171

REFID=51576

REFID=41750

REFID=41375

REFID=45912

REFID=45862

REFID=40730

REFID=40578

REFID=40263

REFID=40030

REFID=20884

REFID=10349

REFID=10350

REFID=47490

REFID=20882

REFID=20878

REFID=20572

REFID=20872

REFID=20868

REFID=20852

REFID=20571